



Post-Approval Obligations of Drug Applicants

Frederick A. Stearns

Partner

Keller and Heckman LLP

1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001

Phone: 202-434-4288 Email: stearns@khlaw.com

Presented to: FDLI Introduction to Drug Law and Regulation
November 9, 2021 Washington, DC

Introduction

- Adverse drug experience (ADE) reports
 - 15-day alert reports
 - Periodic ADE reports
- Annual and other reports
- FDA drug safety activities
- Post-approval changes/supplements
- Grounds for withdrawal of approval
- Sale/transfer of NDAs/ANDAs
- Medicare, Medicaid, and reimbursement issues
- Drug Supply Chain Security Act product tracing

ADE Reports

- Any “adverse event” associated with use of a drug in humans, whether or not considered drug-related
- Can be associated with:
 - Normal use
 - Drug overdose or abuse
 - Withdrawal
 - Failure of expected pharmacological action
- Applicants to develop written procedures for handling and submitting ADEs to FDA
- Rx drugs without NDAs covered by 21 C.F.R. § 310.305 (written procedures not required)
- Serious Adverse Event Reporting now required for OTC monograph drugs

ADE Reports - Terms

- “Serious” means:
 - Death
 - Life-threatening adverse experience
 - Hospitalization (or prolonging hospitalization)
 - Persistent or significant disability/incapacity
 - Congenital anomaly/birth defect
 - “Important medical event” that may jeopardize a patient (based on “appropriate medical judgment”)

- “Unexpected” means:
 - Not listed in the current labeling
 - May be related to an event listed in current labeling, but more severe or more specific

15-Day Alert Reports

- Applicant must report ADEs that are both “serious” and “unexpected” no later than 15 calendar days after initial receipt of information
 - “whether foreign or domestic”
- Company must promptly investigate and submit follow-up reports to FDA
- Non-applicant named on label (manufacturer, packer, distributor) must report ADEs to applicant within 5 days or directly to FDA
- If Rx, no NDA, notified manufacturer must then report even if not named on label

15-Day Alert Reports (2)

- Based on scientific literature?
 - Only if case report or results of formal clinical trial
 - Submit copy of published article

- Based on postmarketing study?
 - Only needed if “reasonable possibility” that drug caused the adverse experience

ADE Reporting

- MedWatch – [Form FDA 3500A]
 - Now “Individual Case Safety Report” (ICSR) submitted electronically
- Provide as much information as known, but at least:
 - Identifiable patient
 - Identifiable reporter
 - Suspect drug product
 - Adverse event
- Disclaimer permitted
 - Report does not constitute an admission that drug caused or contributed to the adverse event

ADE Proposed Rule

- March 14, 2003 proposed rule
 - Require expedited reporting in more circumstances (e.g., actual and potential medication errors)
 - Change focus to Suspected Adverse Drug Experiences
 - Improve harmonization of international reporting standards
 - Many potential changes to timing and types of reporting
 - Comment period extended; no final action yet (none projected)
- Final rule issued for reporting AEs during clinical trials (compliance date: September 28, 2011)
- Final rule (compliance date: Sept. 8, 2015) – adverse events for Rx and OTC drugs (NDA and monograph) must be submitted electronically

Periodic ADE Reports

- ADEs not considered “serious” and “unexpected” must be reported:
 - Quarterly for 3 years after drug approval
 - Annually thereafter
- FDA may establish more frequent interval
- Report must include:
 - Narrative summary and analysis of 15-day reports submitted;
 - Form 3500A/ICSR for non-15-day ADEs; and
 - History of actions taken in response to ADEs

ADE Enforcement

- Failure to submit 15-day reports
- Failure to submit reports within 15 days
- Failure to conduct follow-up investigation and submit 15-day follow-up
- Failure to submit periodic reports
- Failure to have adequate written procedures for surveillance, receipt, evaluation, and reporting

Quiz – ADE Issues

- What criteria describe “reportable” ADEs?

Serious

Inconvenient

Unexpected

Painful

Quiz – ADE Issues

- What criteria describe “reportable” ADEs?

✓ Serious

~~___~~ Inconvenient

✓ Unexpected

~~___~~ Painful

NDA Field Alert Reports

- Mandatory reporting of:
 - Any incident causing a product or its labeling to be mistaken for, or applied to, another article
 - Any bacteriological contamination, or significant chemical, physical, or other change or deterioration in a distributed product
 - Any failure of a distributed product to meet specifications
- Notify FDA District Office within 3 working days after receiving information
- 21 C.F.R. § 314.81(b)(1)

Annual Reports

- 21 C.F.R. § 314.81(b)(2)
 - Summary of significant new information that might affect safety, effectiveness, or labeling
 - Distribution data
 - Current labeling
 - Chemistry, manufacturing, and control changes
 - Nonclinical lab studies
 - Clinical data
 - Status report on postmarketing studies

Other Information

- Submission of promotional materials
 - Representative copies at time of initial dissemination
 - April 2015 – draft FDA guideline on electronic submission
- Withdrawal of approved drug from sale
 - Notify FDA within 15 working days
 - Sole manufacturer of drug that is life supporting, life sustaining, or intended to prevent serious disease or condition - must notify FDA at least 6 months before discontinuing manufacture
 - [Existing regulation; also part of FDASIA]
- Establishment registration (electronic)
 - Update annually
- Drug product listing (electronic)
 - Update every 6 months

FDA Drug Safety Activities

- Drug Safety Oversight Board
 - Advises the CDER Center Director on the handling and communicating of important drug safety issues and the impact of safety decisions on the various Federal healthcare systems
 - Includes representatives of two FDA Centers and eight other federal agencies

- CDER Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology
 - monitors and evaluates safety profiles of drugs post-approval, via:
 - MedWatch program
 - Managing Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) programs (>60 as of November 2021)
 - Sentinel® System

FDA Drug Safety Activities (2)

- OGD Clinical Safety and Surveillance Staff
 - Monitors:
 - Drug Quality Reporting System (DQRS)
 - FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS)
 - Market Data from IMS and Symphony®
 - Focus is on potential differences between brand and generic or between generics

- Postmarketing obligations
 - “Postmarketing commitment” – study sponsor has agreed to do (usually as a condition of approval)
 - “Postmarketing requirement” – study FDA requires the sponsor to perform post-approval
 - Status available to public
 - <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/pmc/index.cfm>

Post-Approval Changes/Supplements

- NDA/ANDA holder must notify FDA about any “change in a condition established in an approved application beyond the variations already provided for” in application
- Assess whether advance approval is needed to implement change
- Fact-specific analysis
- 21 C.F.R. § 314.70

Post-Approval Changes/Supplements (2)

- “Prior approval” (major)
 - Most changes in formulation, manufacturing process, packaging materials

- “Changes being effected” (moderate)
 - 30 days: packaging change that doesn’t affect drug quality
 - Immediate: addition of specification; labeling change to reflect “newly acquired information” if there is “sufficient evidence of a causal association”

- “Annual report” (minor)
 - E.g., delete color; adopt compendial change
 - Final FDA Guidance (March 2014)

Quiz – Post-Approval Changes

- Which of the following would require a PAS?
 - ___ New manufacturing site for the API
 - ___ New manufacturing site for secondary packaging
 - ___ Change in sterilization method
 - ___ Change to imprint code on solid oral dosage form
 - ___ Addition of a stability protocol
 - ___ Extension of shelf-life based on existing stability protocol

Quiz – Post-Approval Changes

- Which of the following would require a PAS?
 - ✓ New manufacturing site for the API
 - ~~___ New manufacturing site for secondary packaging~~
 - ✓ Change in sterilization method
 - ~~___ Change to imprint code on solid oral dosage form~~
 - ✓ Addition of a stability protocol
 - ~~___ Extension of shelf-life based on existing stability protocol~~

Withdrawal of Approval

- FDC Act § 505(e)
 - 5 Mandatory bases (“shall”)
 - 3 Discretionary bases (“may”)
- 21 C.F.R. § 314.150(b)
 - Additional “regulatory” bases not in statute
- Formal process requires administrative hearing (substantial effort by FDA)
- Statutory basis for reinstating approval when “the facts so require”
 - FDC Act § 505(f)

Withdrawal - Mandatory

- Safety - clinical or other evidence shows drug is unsafe
- Safety - based on new clinical evidence not in NDA or known to FDA that drug “is not shown to be safe”
 - E.g., Seldane (following Allegra approval)
 - E.g., Avastin for breast cancer (2011)
 - E.g., >325 mg acetaminophen in Rx drugs (2014)
- Effectiveness - new evidence shows lack of substantial evidence of effectiveness
 - Generics not effective - methylphenidate ER (proposed October 2016)
 - Postmarket study (ineffective) – Makena (2020)
- Failure to file required patent information
- Application includes untrue statement of material fact
 - KV Pharmaceutical (1998)
 - Concerns about Able Labs (2005)

Withdrawal - Discretionary

- Failure to have system for maintaining required records, to permit access to records, or to file required reports
- New information: serious manufacturing deficiencies and not corrected within a reasonable time after written notice
- New information: labeling is false or misleading and not corrected within a reasonable time after written notice
 - Generic MiraLax (Rx/OTC marketing) (October 2008)
 - Four companies requested hearings on withdrawal
 - FDA denied requests on April 2, 2018
 - ANDA approvals withdrawn on November 2, 2018

Withdrawal - Regulatory

- Legal authority uncertain (but not challenged)
 - Failure to submit bioavailability or bioequivalence data
 - E.g., FDA concerns about data generated by contract research organizations
 - ANDA holders requested multiple times to submit new data, holders did not, ANDA approvals withdrawn
 - 9/16/19: urisidol capsules (CorePharma)
 - 8/3/20: oxycodone HCl and ibuprofen combination (Watson Labs)
 - Failure to explain omission of investigation or other information pertinent to evaluation of the drug (omission itself not a basis for withdrawal)
 - Good laboratory practice violations
 - Informed consent/Institutional Review Board (IRB) violations
 - Refusal to permit inspection (applicant or contract research organization)

Withdrawal – “Voluntary”

- Product no longer marketed – sponsors ask FDA to withdraw approval
- Product pulled from market based on safety reports
 - Redux (pulled 1997; approval withdrawn 2007)
 - OraFlex (1982; 2013)
 - Vioxx (2004 – still technically approved)
 - Zecuity (2013; 2019 (claimed “commercial reasons”))
- Postmarket trial - efficacy not shown
 - *E.g.*, Iressa, one indication for Celebrex
- Postmarket trial - safety issues
 - *E.g.*, Mylotarg, Meridia

Withdrawal – “Voluntary” (2)

- Postmarket trial – not completed
 - *E.g.*, Bexxar, Oforta

- Generic not effective
 - Bupropriion HCl ER (final April 2014)
 - Propranolol HCl ER (final August 2017)

- Withdrawing indication for co-administration (label statement re no additional benefit) (April 2016)
 - Niacin extended-release and fenofibric acid ER
 - Advicor (niacin ER and lovastatin fixed combination)
 - Simcor (niacin ER and simvastatin fixed combination)

Withdrawal – Others

- Citizen petition
 - Raising alleged safety concerns
 - *E.g.*, Crestor, Xenical, Avandia, Propecia
 - Confusion over product names
- “Imminent hazard” to public health
 - Summary suspension with opportunity for expedited hearing
 - HHS Secretary’s decision - only used once
 - Phenformin HCl (diabetes treatment) (concern: lactic acidosis)
 - FDA started withdrawal process in May 1977
 - HHS issued “order of suspension” on July 25, 1977
 - Formal NDA approval withdrawn November 15, 1978

Withdrawal – Others (2)

- Advisory Committee recommendation
- Darvon/Darvocet (propoxyphene) (1/2009)
 - AdCom voted to withdraw approval based on safety issues
 - FDA (July 2009): no withdrawal – strengthened label, Medication Guide, additional safety study
 - FDA (Nov. 2010): based on new data, requested withdrawal, manufacturer agreed
 - March 10, 2014: Notice of withdrawals of approvals
- Vicodin, Percocet (narcotics + acetaminophen) (6/2009)
 - AdCom voted to withdraw approval
 - March 27, 2014: Notices of withdrawals of approvals

Withdrawal – Others (3)

- Advisory Committee recommendation
- Opana ER (oxymorphone HCl) (June 2017)
 - Drug originally approved in 2006
 - Reformulated in 2012 to make resistant to manipulation to allow snorting or ingestion
 - Lack of data to justify including abuse-deterrent information in labeling
 - AdCom voted (March 2017) 18-8 that benefits no longer outweighed risks
 - June 8, 2017: FDA asked Endo Pharmaceuticals to withdraw product from market voluntarily
 - If Endo declines, “the agency intends to take steps to formally require its removal by withdrawing approval”
 - July 2017: Endo agrees to remove product from the market

Withdrawal – Others (4)

- “Administrative Reconsideration”
- Spear Pharmaceuticals – fluorouracil ANDA approved April 11, 2008
 - Valeant Citizen Petition (denied), lawsuit against FDA
 - FDA announced reconsideration May 14 due to “outstanding questions regarding this approval”
 - Approval stayed until May 30, 2008 (Spear agreed to stay)
 - No indication of what issues were uncovered
 - No additional delay in approval beyond May 30

Withdrawal – Agency “Mistake”?

- *American Therapeutics* (1990)
 - ANDA approved on 6/23/89, revoked on 8/3/89
 - FDA official not aware of cGMP deficiencies identified during inspection completed on 6/23
 - Court: FDA acted quickly and in good faith to correct error

- *Ranbaxy v. FDA* (March 2015)
 - Nov. 2014, FDA withdrew two tentative approvals issued in 2008
 - Substantial cGMP issues existed at time of TAs (only later discovered), still ongoing
 - Court:
 - “A series of [FDA] errors combined with [Ranbaxy’s] malfeasance led to the tentative approval[s]”
 - FDA “has the inherent authority to correct its mistakes” even though Agency acted “belatedly”
 - [Ranbaxy lost first-filer exclusivity]

Withdrawal – Agency “Mistake”? (2)

- Sun Pharma Advanced Research Company
 - NDA approved in March 2015
 - Complete response letter received in September 2015
 - Sun press release: Approval rescinded because “compliance status of the manufacturing facility was not acceptable on the date of approval”
 - Trade press report:
 - FDA transitioning to new computer system
 - Compliance status of Sun facility not accurately displayed or assessed in new system
 - NDA approved by mistake
 - Sun apparently not challenging FDA’s action

Withdrawal – Agency “Mistake”? (3)

- Lannett Company
 - ANDA approved in March 23, 2016
 - FDA letter to Lannett dated May 17, 2016 “rescinding” the approval
 - FDA’s April 1, 2016 letter said approval was issued in error
 - Inspection of Chinese API manufacturer found cGMP issues
 - Information about API status was “not adequately conveyed to the FDA officials making the final decisions about the ANDA approval”
 - Lannett sued FDA in DC District Court; decision issued on October 25, 2017
 - ANDA rescission is not “final agency action”
 - Lannett failed to exhaust administrative remedies before suit (options under 21 CFR 314.110(b))
 - Court cited *American Therapeutics* approvingly

Quiz – Withdrawal of Approval

- Which of the following are bases for withdrawing approval?

New information shows drug is not safe

New information shows drug is not effective

Failure to file required reports

Bad attitude during FDA inspection

Quiz – Withdrawal of Approval

- Which of the following are bases for withdrawing approval?
 - ✓ New information shows drug is not safe
 - ✓ New information shows drug is not effective
 - ✓ Failure to file required reports
 - ~~— Bad attitude during FDA inspection~~

Sale/Transfer of NDA/ANDA

- Notify FDA at time of transfer
- Letter from former owner confirming transfer of rights
- Letter from new owner:
 - Providing commitment to meet conditions of application
 - Confirming effective date of transfer
 - Certifying possession of full copy of application
- 21 C.F.R. § 314.72

Post-Approval Safety Issues

- FDA authority to require:
 - Postmarket studies
 - Change in label safety information
- Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS)
- Penalties for noncompliance:
 - Misbranded drug
 - Civil money penalties

Medicare, Medicaid, and Reimbursement

- Medicare: federal health insurance program for:
 - People who are 65 or older
 - Certain younger people with disabilities
 - People with End-Stage Renal Disease (permanent kidney failure requiring dialysis or a transplant, sometimes called ESRD)

- Medicare Parts:
 - Part A (Hospital Insurance)
 - Part B (Medical Insurance)
 - Part D (prescription drug coverage)

Medicare, Medicaid, and Reimbursement (2)

- Medicaid: health insurance program for:
 - Eligible low-income adults; children; pregnant women; elderly adults; and people with disabilities
- Jointly funded by states and federal government
- Medicare drug coverage issues:
 - Network/preferred pharmacies
 - List of covered prescription drugs (formularies) – will vary by plan
 - Coverage rules (examples):
 - Prior authorization
 - Step therapy
 - Quantity limits

Track-Trace

- Guard against counterfeits/poor quality
 - FDA Counterfeit Drug Task Force formed July 2003
 - Task Force report issued February 2004

- FDA Amendments Act of 2007:
 - FDA to develop standards for “effective technologies” to secure drug supply chain
 - FDA developed “standardized numerical identifier” to identify drug and allow track/trace (March 2010 guidance)

- October 2011 – final guidance on “physical-chemical identifiers” in solid oral dosage forms to prevent counterfeiting

Drug Quality and Security Act (2013)

- Drug Supply Chain Security Act (Title II)
- Elements
 - Product tracing – 1/1/15
 - FDA to establish standards for information to be exchanged
 - Product verification – 1/1/15
 - Product identification – 11/27/17 through 11/27/20
 - Wholesaler and third-party logistics provider licensing standards – 11/27/15
 - Enhanced system – electronic package tracing (11/27/22)
 - Penalties – failure to comply results in adulterated and misbranded product
 - National uniformity - preempts state laws



Thank you!

Questions? Please contact:

Frederick A. Stearns

Partner

Keller and Heckman LLP

1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001

Phone: 202-434-4288 Email: stearns@khlaw.com

DISCLAIMER: This presentation and the accompanying discussion provide general information on recent legal and regulatory developments. They are not intended to be, and should not be relied upon as, legal advice.