



# The Benefits and Risks of Internal Audits and Investigations

**Thomas J. Cosgrove**, Partner, Covington & Burling LLP

**John Geissler**, Global Head, Compliance, Incident Management and Audit, Novartis

**Frances M. Zipp**, President & CEO, Lachman Consultant Services, Inc.

*Moderated by* **Howard R. Sklamberg**, Partner, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP



# The Benefits and Risks of Internal Audits and Investigations

*Moderated by* **Howard R. Sklamberg**,  
Partner, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer &  
Feld LLP



# The Lawyer's Perspective

## GMP Audits and Investigations

Tom Cosgrove  
Partner, Food, Drug & Device Practice Group

**COVINGTON**



# What is a GMP Audit?

- Can be internal or external
- Designed to assess state of system being audited (production, lab, facilities & equip, entire facility, etc.)
- Is system in “control” and consistently performing within specification?
- Distinguished from an “investigation”
- Further distinguished from a formal, CGMP investigation
  - See 21 CFR § 211.192

# Potential Disclosure of Audits and Investigations

- Audit Reports
  - FDA, CPG 130.300 (“During routine inspections and investigations . . . FDA will not review or copy reports and records that result from audits and inspections of the written quality assurance program”)
  - EU and Rest of World
- GMP Investigations (211.192)
  - Report of investigation to be in the records of the quality system
  - Typically tracked as a deviation or a CAPA
  - Available to FDA
- Privileged Investigations
  - Privilege may be challenged
  - Privilege can backfire

# Why conduct a privileged investigation in a CGMP context?

- Issues may go beyond scope of quality system
  - H.R.
  - EHS
- Need for management to understand legal risk
- Desire to manage potential follow-on liability (e.g., products liability, false claims act)
- Ability of in-house and outside counsel to investigate certain issues more effectively
  - Ability enhanced by collaboration with outside consultants

# “Parallel” CGMP Investigations

- FDA regulations require “any unexplained discrepancy” to be “thoroughly investigated” by the Quality Unit
  - This does not mean an investigation by legal
- If a privileged investigation on a CGMP issue is initiated, the Quality Unit will often still need to do its own investigation
- If privileged investigation uncovers information pertinent to CGMP and Quality Unit investigation, facts should be conveyed to Quality Unit

# Balance use of the Privilege in the CGMP Context

- Avoid knee-jerk instinct to privilege everything
  - May conflict with other obligations
  - May impede ability to correct quality issues
  - May deflate effectiveness and profile of quality and compliance programs
- Act quickly on findings that may affect product quality
  - Obligation to patients
  - Single best strategy to minimize risk on all fronts
  - “Information wants to be free”
- Ensure non-privileged audits are properly scoped
  - Lawyers can help frame the purpose and method of the audit
  - Audit reports will often be used in follow-on litigation

# Questions?

Tom Cosgrove

Covington & Burling

One City Center

850 Tenth St., NW

Washington, DC 20001

202.662.5260

[tcosgrove@cov.com](mailto:tcosgrove@cov.com)



# The Role of the Consultant

Frances Zipp  
President and CEO  
Lachman Consultant Services, Inc.



# Legal Notice

The information displayed on these presentation slides is for the sole private use of the attendees of the seminar/training at which these slides were presented. Lachman Consultant Services, Inc. (“Lachman Consultants”) makes no representations or warranties of any kind, either express or implied, with respect to the contents and information presented. All original contents, as well as the compilation, collection, arrangement, and assembly of information provided on these presentation slides, including, but not limited to the analysis and examination of information herein, are the exclusive property of Lachman Consultants protected under copyright and other intellectual property laws. These presentation slides may not be displayed, distributed, reproduced, modified, transmitted, used or reused, without the express written permission of Lachman Consultants.

# When is it advantageous to use a Consultant?

- Consultants can bring a fresh view to a situation
- The independence of consultants over internal resources can be a source of trust by regulators in certain situations
- Resources can be made available in expedited timeframes
- Consultants can deploy vetted experts in specific areas
- Consultants can bring perspective of many different companies and approaches, for robust conclusions



# The role of the consultant

- To provide independent review, oversight, and assessment of a company, area, system
- To provide expert quality and compliance advice on various matters
  - Responses, protocols, reports, other compliance issues
- To fulfill regulator requests

# When can FDA ask for consultants reports

- The consultant can serve as an extension of the firm. If the firm communicates that a consultant is providing a role, the description and outcome of that role can be requested.
- If the firm provides any part of any consultant protocol or report to the FDA or references such, it is a fair assumption that the entire protocol/report will be requested.



## Can a firm minimize the risk of FDA getting access to consultant work?

- Why??
- Have well-defined protocols in place that describe the who what, why, when and the deliverables.
- Avail the protections of Attorney-Client Privilege
- Partner with consultants as part of internal audits (within limits)

## What if there is a Warning Letter – how does consultant proceed ?

- Very often, in Warning Letter situations, FDA is “recommending” that a 3<sup>rd</sup> party be used, so firm discloses to FDA if and when consultants are used.
- Consultant does not disclose; assure agreements are in place.
- Is the recommendation a suggestion or an expectation?
- The consultant can be an advisor or provide oversight? Can they do both? Carefully!!!!



The Pharmaceutical  
Experience and Reputation  
**You Can Trust**

Thank you for attending!





# The Client's Perspective

## Hiring and Managing a GxP Consultant

John Geissler

Global Head, Compliance, Incident Management and Audit



# Before Engaging a Consultant:

- Define scope well!
  - Augment operation of existing quality system
  - Investigate, assess or advise on operations ex-QS
- Select appropriately, assuring documentation of qualifications for the firm and its assigned resources
- Pre-define deliverables and set appropriate expectations
- Many considerations - technical, managerial, geographic, communication, confidentiality, collaborations...

# Consultant Reports:

- Did you commit actions by a consultant to a HA?
  - Confirming the client's assertions and corrective actions can help expedite re-inspections. HAs may want to understand the evaluation criteria / protocol and review the report.
- “Hot-Line” investigation and other internal audit reports may end up being disclosed to HAs
- Be sure advice / recommendations are separate and distinct from compliance concerns; one-time, or periodic?



# Considerations for Large Remediation Projects:

- Difficulties in managing numbers of consultants
- Avoiding information overload
- Prioritization and focus
- Pre-verifications for consent decree reviews
- When and how to end remediation activities

# Thanks very much!

John Geissler

Global Head, Compliance, Incident Management and Audit

Novartis Technical Operations

100 College Road West

Princeton, NJ 08540

609-720-6602

[John.Geissler@Novartis.com](mailto:John.Geissler@Novartis.com)